



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	MS: 2012 BJPR 2172
Manuscript Number:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Title of the Manuscript:	Combined oral arginine and monosodium glutamate exposure induces adverse response on the prostate and testis of rats.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The histomorphological changes were inconsistent with the biochemical changes in Group 4 (MSG) and Group 5 (ARG+GLU), that's why I insisted the histomorphological changes in the testis sections of group 2 and group 5 should be provided. The authors needed not to take the pictures to write this report, but take in the response. Furthermore, the size of sample is too small.	<p>Prof., we took that in our response in Lines 228-231 thus: Oddly, the histomorphological changes were inconsistent with the biochemical changes in Group 4 (MSG) and Group 5 (ARG+GLU), but histomorphological changes were more definitive response following agent treatment in animals [35].</p> <p>The authors accepted that the sample size is small hence noted in Lines 14-16 that: "Further work however, is required to address some shortcomings (including small sample size) of this study", and in Lines 254-257 that: "Further research however, is required to [address some shortcomings (including small sample size) of this study, and possibly], elucidate the underlying molecular mechanisms of the present observations in animal models" .</p>